

Should Artists' Ethics Affect Our Artistic Judgments?

Tom was a highly acclaimed artist, who played a significant role in revolutionizing art. After his death, it was revealed that Tom had abused young women throughout his adulthood, at the same time his art was gaining popularity. In fact, his most groundbreaking and recognized artwork, titled "Y", features young women. While many art critics have concluded there is no obvious suggestive or otherwise objectionable element in "Y," they do not doubt that Tom's abusive behavior played a role in inspiring the creation of the piece.

Some argue that art should be assessed and valued based on its aesthetic qualities alone, separate from the artist. Once a piece of artwork is out in public domain, they claim, it takes on a self-contained existence, independent from the artist's original intentions, and should be evaluated based on its artistic quality and content and the artist's technical skill. Viewing art solely for art's sake allows it to resonate with individuals more personally, as they bring their own experiences and perspectives to their interpretations of the work. Collectively, various individual interpretations lead to an overall better understanding of the work's potential meanings. Furthermore, by placing emphasis on the aesthetic experience, creators are encouraged to express themselves authentically without worrying about social acceptance. In the case of Tom, some may argue that without all of Tom's experiences influencing his art, "Y" would not have been created, which would have diminished the development of art. Evaluating and condemning art based on the behavior or attitudes of its creator may hinder freedom of expression and the future development of art.

Others counter that contextual information should be involved when critiquing art, in order to truly understand the artwork beyond surface-level aesthetics. They say that the artist's biography and intentions should guide the interpretation of artwork and that disregarding contextual information may lead to distorting the piece's meaning and the artist's vision. With regards to "Y," some may also argue that not taking Tom's abusive history into account could lead to glorifying an artwork that has harmful implications. Moreover, celebrating artists who engage in harmful or abusive behavior might be seen as excusing unethical behavior. Some argue that artists should be held to the same moral standards as anyone else, and one of the most impactful ways to hold people accountable for immorality is viewing their accomplishments through a lens that recognizes their immoral conduct.

Discussion Questions

1. In judging art, how can society balance the evaluation of an artist's immoral behavior with the recognition of their important contributions to the art world?
2. How much does the original intent of the artist matter?
3. Can individuals ethically appreciate just the aesthetic properties of an art piece when immoral behavior was part of what led to its creation?