Lock boxes in Schools: Solution to Cellphone Distraction or Infringement on Autonomy?

       To address the problem of cellphone usage in classrooms, more and more school districts across the country are implementing a lock box system: schools collect mobile devices at the beginning of the day and keep them in unopenable boxes (or pouches) until the last bell rings. Proponents of this approach argue that removing phones helps improve concentration, benefits students' interpersonal skills, and positively impacts educational outcomes. Others believe this approach makes schools less safe and infringes on student rights.

       On the one hand, school districts are introducing bell-to-bell cellphone bans for good reason. Teachers and parents want students to maintain a higher level of focus during class time and minimize distractions. When students are texting instead of paying attention, teachers can't be as effective in imparting knowledge and encouraging participation. Eliminating the presence of hand-held devices also reduces the likelihood of cheating and fosters a more hands-on learning environment. Without phones, students tend to be more mindful and present, which in turn can alleviate stress and enhance mental health and well-being. Rather than relying on search engines and artificial intelligence tools, students are challenged to think creatively and engage more deeply with the material. Moreover, schools with cellphone bans report that students are engaging more actively with one another rather than spending time on their phones during lunch and other free periods, helping to alleviate the loneliness and isolation that has grown among teens since the widespread use of smartphones.

       On the other hand, critics argue that phone bans limit students' abilities to communicate with family members, peers, and school staff, especially in times of emergency. They also point out that students often depend on their phones as a way to navigate the school: assignments, lesson plans, meeting locations, and course schedules are constantly updated, requiring timely access. Without phones, students may find it difficult to stay up to date with important information and key announcements. Many students rely on phones for online access, and some argue that these students especially need training in how to use their phones effectively, training that will not happen if phones are banned in school. Opponents of cellphone bans also argue that students will always find distractions, regardless of the measures that are put in place. Eliminating phones will simply shift the distraction to something else, such as personal or school-sanctioned laptops. Virtual private networks (VPNs) and mirroring functionalities, which allow users to wirelessly connect to their phones from a computer, are just a few examples of the ways that students can avoid restrictions on technology.

Discussion Questions

1. Is banning phones from classrooms ethically acceptable? Are there less restrictive alternatives that as effectively meet the goals of cellphone bans?
2. What are the limits to students' rights to autonomy? When is it ethically permissible for schools to make decisions for students, such as the use of cellphones?
3. Do cellphone bans raise equity issues?

Written by Charles Lugo, age 15
Staples High School, Westport, Connecticut